IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.V.K.BALI
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 15TH DECEMBER 2006 / 24TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WA.No. 2338 of 2006()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.5884/2006 Dated 21/03/2006
.....

APPELLANT: IST RESPONDENT IN THE WRIT PETITION

THE DHANALAKSHMI BANK LIMITED,
KONGAD BRANCH, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, REPRESENTED
BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, MRS.DEEPA MOHAN,
W/O.S.MOHAN.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.AJAI KUMAR

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONERS AND ADDL.2ND RESPONDENT
---

1. U.S.LAKSHMIKUTTY AMMA,
W/O.N.P.RAMAN NAIR, PUSHPAMANGALAM,
KUNDALASSERY P.O., KERALASSERY,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

2. N.P.RAMANA NAIR, PUSHPAMANGALAM,
KUNDALASSERY P.O., KERALASSERY,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

3. THE RECOVERY OFFICER,
DEBT RECOVERY TRIBUNAL, 8TH FLOOR,
K.S.H.B. BUILDING, PANAMPILLY NAGAR, COCHIN-36.
(SUO MOTO IMPLEADED AS ADDITIONAL 2ND RESPONDENT
IN THE WRIT PETITION).

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ORDERS ON 15/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.K. BALI, C.J. & C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

W.A.No.2338 of 2006 &
C.M.Appln.No.1266 of 2006

Dated, this the 15th day of December, 2006

JUDGMENT

V.K.Bali,C.J.(Oral)

Delay condoned.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant says that this writ appeal be dismissed as withdrawn as the appellant would rather choose to move an application for review before the learned Single Judge. With leave and liberty as asked for, this writ appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

V.K. BALI,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,
JUDGE.

vns